

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,846	01/30/2004	Scott G. Manke .	040014-0185	7603	
7590 12/12/2006		· .	· EXAMINER		
James A. Wilke		SORKIN, DAVID L			
FOLEY & LARDNER Suite 3800			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
777 East Wisconsin Avenue			1723		
Milwaukee, WI 53202-5306			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	
v	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/768,846	MANKE, SCOTT G.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David L. Sorkin	1723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is				
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 15-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,15-25, 28 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access access access access and access	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following of the held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/768,846 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 November 2006 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 26 and 27 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Claims 26 and 27 are directed to a table with adjustable legs, while the originally presented invention is to a mixing apparatus. Tables with adjustable legs are classified in class 108, while, mixing machines are classified in class 366.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 26 and 27 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/768,846

Art Unit: 1723

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Armendariz et al. (US 6,945,690). Armendariz ('690) discloses an apparatus comprising a member (230) and a mixing apparatus (30, including motor 120) including a surface (see Fig. 5). The member defines a stop at the upper edge of the member (see Fig. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 and 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hellenberg (US 5,268,620) in view of Armendariz et al. (US 6,945,690).

 Hellenberg (US 5,268,620) discloses a system comprising a first container of paint having a first volume (for example one gallon, see col. 1, lines 13-17), a second container having a second volume (for example one quart, see col. 1, lines 13-17) (see also, col. 2, lines 16-20; col. 3, lines 33-43); a holder comprising a clamp having a plate (12); and a motor (M2) to move the holder about at least one axis. It is also discloses that several containers may be mixing simultaneously (see col. 1, lines 46-48).

Application/Control Number: 10/768,846

Art Unit: 1723

However, the claimed removable platform is not explicitly disclosed. Armendariz ('690) teaches a removable platform (230). Armendariz ('690) explains that the removable platform is designed to support a small (for example one quart) paint container, while being the same size and weight as a larger (for example one gallon) container (see col. 6, line 60 to col. 7 line 19). Because Armendariz ('690) explains that the removable platform is designed to hold a small container, but mimic a larger container, and because both references recognize the importance of mixing different size paint containers, where Hellenberg (US 5,268,620) discloses mixing several containers simultaneously, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill the art to have substituted one or more of the several containers with the holder of Armendariz ('690) holding a smaller container.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments are generally moot due to the new grounds of rejection and the withdrawal of claims 26 and 27 from consideration.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/768,846

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David L. Sorkin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

DLS